

Internal Appeals Policy

North Kesteven Academy

2026-2027



Introduction Date:	Autumn Term 2026
To be Reviewed:	Autumn Term 2027

Key staff involved in internal appeals procedures

Role	Name(s)
Head of centre	Holly Thomas
SLT members	Andy Bains
Exams officer	Brent Grantham-Rae
HODs	Heads of Department

Purpose of the procedure

This procedure confirms North Kesteven Academy compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (5.3z, 5.8) that the centre will:

- have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually by a member of the senior leadership team and communicated within the centre, an internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding internal assessment decisions, access to post-result services and appeals, and centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration
- draw to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers their internal appeals procedure
- This procedure covers appeals relating to:
- Internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)
- Centre decisions not to support an application for clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
- Centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

Appeals relating to internal assessment decisions (centre assessed marks)

Certain qualifications contain components/units of non-examination assessment, controlled assessment and/or coursework which are internally assessed (marked) by centres and internally standardised. The marks awarded (the internal assessment decisions) which contribute to the final grade of the qualification are then submitted by the deadline set by the awarding body for external moderation.

The qualifications delivered at North Kesteven Academy containing internally assessed components/units are:

- GCSE Art
- GCSE 3D Design
- GCSE Photography
- GCSE Food and Nutrition – NEA 1 and 2
- GCSE Music – Components 1 and 2
- GCSE Dance – Component 1
- GCSE Drama – Component 1
- Level 2 Pearson BTEC Tech Award in Performing Arts – Components 1 and 2
- OCR Level 1/Level 2 Cambridge National in Sport Science – R181 and R182
- OCR Level 1/Level 2 Cambridge National in Child Development
- A-level History
- A-level English Literature – Theory and Independence
- A-level Drama – Component 1
- OCR Level 3 Cambridge Technical Extended Certificate in Sport and Physical Activity – Units 2, 8 and 17
- Pearson BTEC Level 3 in Performing Arts - Units 2, 4, 6, 19, 20, 22, 24, 27
- WJEC Level 3 Applied Diploma in Criminology – Units 1 and 3
- OCR Level 3 Cambridge Technical Extended Certificate in Business - Units 4, 5 and 8
- Level 3 Extended Project Qualification
- OCR Level 3 Cambridge Technical Extended Certificate in Health and Social Care

The procedure confirms North Kesteven Academy's compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (section 5.7) that the centre will:

- Have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually, a written internal appeals procedure relating to internal assessment decisions and to ensure that details of this procedure are communicated, made widely available and accessible to all candidates.
- Before submitting marks to the awarding body inform candidates of their centre assessed marks and allow a candidate to request a review of the centre's marking.

Deadlines for the submission of marks (Summer 2027 exam series)

Date	Qualification	Details
05/05/2027	GCE, GCSE	Final date for submission of coursework marks (Eduqas)
15/05/2027	GCE, GCSE	Final date for submission of coursework marks (AQA, OCR, Pearson, except for AS/A-level Art and Design)

North Kesteven Academy is committed to ensuring that whenever its staff marks candidates' work this is done fairly, consistently and in accordance with the awarding body's specification and subject-specific associated documents.

North Kesteven Academy ensures that all centre staff follow a robust policy regarding the management of non-examination assessments including controlled assessments and coursework. This policy details all procedures relating to non-examination assessments, including the marking and quality assurance processes which relevant teaching staff are required to follow.

Candidates' work will be marked by staff who have appropriate knowledge, understanding and skill, who have been trained in this activity and do not have any potential conflicts of interest. If AI tools have been used to assist in the marking of candidates' work, they will not be the sole marker. North Kesteven Academy is committed to ensuring that work produced by candidates is authenticated in line with the requirements of the awarding body. Where a number of subject teachers are involved in marking candidates' work, internal moderation and standardisation will ensure consistency of marking.

On being informed of their centre-assessed marks, if a candidate believes that the above procedures where not followed in relation to the marking of their work, or that the assessor has not properly applied the mark scheme to the marking, then the candidate may make use of this appeals procedure to consider whether to request a review of the centre's marking.

North Kesteven Academy will:

1. Ensure that candidates are informed of their centre-assessed marks so that they may request a review of the centre's marking before marks are submitted to the awarding body.

2. Inform candidates that they need to explain on what grounds they wish to request a review of an internally assessed mark as a review will only focus on the quality of work submitted.

3. Inform candidates that they may request copies of materials (as a minimum, a copy of the marked assessment materials (work) and the mark scheme or assessment criteria plus additional materials which may vary from subject to subject) to assist them in considering whether to request a review of the centre's marking of the assessment. For BTEC/CTEC courses marks must be shared with students three weeks before the external moderation date.

4. Having received a request for copies of materials, promptly make them available to the candidate within 5 working days. This will either be the originals viewed under supervised conditions, or copies.

5. Inform candidates they will not be allowed access to original assessment material, including artifacts, unless supervised.

6. Provide candidates with sufficient time, normally at least five working days, to allow them to review copies of materials and reach a decision.

7. Provide a clear deadline for candidates to submit a request for a review of the centre's marking. Requests will not be accepted after this deadline. Requests must be made in writing within 5 working days of receiving their mark and copy of their NEA using the attached appendix.

8. Allow 10 school days for the review to be carried out, to make any necessary changes to marks and to inform the candidate of the outcome, all before the awarding body's deadline for the submission of marks.

9. Ensure that the review of marking is conducted by an assessor who has appropriate competence, has had no previous involvement in the assessment of that candidate for the component in question and has no personal interest in the outcome of the review.

10. Instruct the reviewer to ensure that the candidate's mark is consistent with the standard set by the centre.

11. Inform the candidate in writing of the outcome of the review of the centre's marking.

The outcome of the review of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre who will have the final decision if there is any disagreement on the mark to be submitted to the awarding body. A written record of the review will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request.

The awarding body will be informed if the centre does not accept the outcome of a review.

The moderation process carried out by the awarding body may result in a mark change, either upwards or downwards, even after an internal review. The internal review process is in place to ensure consistency of marking within the centre, whereas moderation by the awarding body

ensures that centre's marking is in line with national standards. The mark submitted to the awarding body is subject to change and should, therefore, be considered provisional.

Appeals against decisions to reject a candidate's work on the grounds of malpractice

The JCQ Information for candidates documents (Coursework, Non-examination assessments, Social media) which are distributed to all candidates prior to relevant assessments taking place, inform candidates of the things they must and must not do when they are completing their work.

The JCQ *Information for candidates - AI (Artificial Intelligence and assessments)* or similar centre document is issued to candidates prior to assessments taking place (and prior to a candidate signing the declaration of authentication which relates to their work).

North Kesteven Academy ensures that staff delivering/assessing coursework, internal assessments and/or non-examination assessments are aware of centre procedures relating to the authentication of learner work and have robust processes in place for identifying and reporting plagiarism (including AI misuse) and other potential candidate malpractice.

Candidate malpractice offences relating to the content of work (i.e. inappropriate/offensive content, copying/collusion, plagiarism (including AI misuse) and/or false declaration of authentication) which are discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment component prior to the candidate signing the declaration of authentication do not need to be reported to the awarding body but will be dealt with in accordance with the centre's internal procedures.

Malpractice by a candidate discovered in a controlled assessment, coursework or non-examination assessment where the offence does not relate to the content of candidates' work (e.g. possession of unauthorised materials, breach of assessment conditions) or where a candidate has signed the declaration of authentication, must be reported to the awarding body.

If there are doubts about the authenticity of the work of a candidate or irregularities are identified in a candidate's work before the candidate has signed the declaration of authentication/authentication statement (where required) and malpractice is suspected, North Kesteven Academy will:

- Follow the authentication procedures and/or malpractice instructions in the relevant JCQ document (*Instructions for conducting non-examination assessments*/*Instructions for conducting coursework*) and any supplementary guidance that may be provided by the awarding body. Where this may lead to the decision to **not** accept the candidate's work for assessment or to reject a candidate's coursework on the grounds of malpractice, the affected candidate will be informed of the decision.

If a candidate who is the subject of the decision disagrees with the decision:

- A written request, setting out as clearly and concisely as possible the grounds for the appeal including any further evidence relevant to supporting the appeal, should be submitted
- An **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted within five working days of the decision being made known to the appellant

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal within ten working days of the appeal being received and logged by the centre.

Appeals relating to centre decisions not to support an application for a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal

This procedure confirms North Kesteven Academy's compliance with JCQ's *General Regulations for Approved Centres section 5.13* that the centre will:

- Have available for inspection purposes and draw to the attention of the candidates and their parents/carers, a written internal appeals procedure to manage disputes when a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support an application for a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal.
- Following the issue of results, awarding bodies make post-results services available. Full details of these services, internal deadlines for requesting a service and fees charged are provided by the exams officer.
- Candidates are also made aware of the arrangements for post-results services prior to the issue of results. Candidates are also informed of the periods which senior members of centre staff will be available immediately after the publication of results so that results may be discussed, and decisions made on the submission of reviews of marking.
- If the centre or a candidate (or their parent/carer) has a concern and believes a result may not be accurate, an enquiry about the result may be considered.

The JCQ post-results services currently available are detailed below:

Reviews of Results:

- Service 1 – clerical re-check
- Service 2 – review of marking
- Priority Service 2 – this service is available for externally assessed components of both unitised and linear GCE A Level specifications
- Service 3 – review of moderation (this service is not available to an individual candidate)

Access to Scripts:

- Copies of scripts to support reviews of marking
- Copies of scripts to support teaching and learning

- Where a concern is expressed that a particular result may not be accurate, the centre will look at the marks awarded for each component part of the qualification alongside any mark schemes, relevant result reports, grade boundary information, etc. when made available by the awarding body to determine if the centre supports any concerns.
- Written candidate consent (informed consent via candidate email is acceptable) is required in all cases before a request for a EAR service 1 or 2 (including priority service 2) is submitted to the awarding body. Consent is required to confirm the candidate understands that the final subject grade and/or mark awarded following a clerical re-check or a review of marking, and any subsequent appeal, may be lower than, higher than, or the same as the result which was originally awarded. Candidate consent must only be collected after the publication of results.

- If a concern is raised about a particular examination result, the exams officer, teaching staff and head of centre will investigate the feasibility of requesting an enquiry supported by the centre.
- Where the centre does not uphold a request from a candidate, the candidate may pay the appropriate EAR fee to the centre, and a request will be made to the awarding body on the candidate's behalf.
- If the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support an enquiry, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the **internal appeals form** at least 7 calendar days prior to the internal deadline for submitting an EAR.
- The appellant will be informed of the outcome of his/her appeal, before the internal deadline for submitting an EAR.
- Following the EAR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications *Post-Results Services* and *JCQ Appeals Booklet* (*A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes*) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.
- Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the EAR outcome, but the candidate (or his/her parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the *JCQ Appeals Booklet*. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

Centre actions in the event of a disagreement (dispute)

Where a candidate disagrees with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking or a review of moderation, the centre will:

- For a review of marking (RoR priority service 2), advise the candidate they may request the review by providing informed written consent (and the required fee) for this service to the centre by the deadline set by the centre
- For a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2), first advise the candidate to access a copy of their script to support a review of marking by providing written permission for the centre to access the script (and any required administration fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- After accessing the script to consider the marking, inform the candidate that if a request for a review of marking (RoR service 1 or 2) is required, this must be submitted by the deadline set by the centre by providing informed written consent (and the required fee for this service) for the centre to submit this request
- Inform the candidate that a review of moderation (RoR service 3) cannot be requested for the work of an individual candidate or the work of a candidate not in the original sample.

If the candidate (or their parent/carer) believes there are grounds to appeal against the centre's decision not to support a review of results, an internal appeal can be submitted to the centre by completing the **internal appeals form**] at least five working days prior to the internal deadline for submitting a request for a review of results.

The appellant will be informed of the outcome of the appeal before the internal deadline for submitting a RoR.

Following the RoR outcome, an external appeals process is available if the head of centre remains dissatisfied with the outcome and believes there are grounds for appeal. The JCQ publications **Post-Results Services** and **JCQ Appeals Booklet** (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) will be consulted to determine the acceptable grounds for a preliminary appeal.

Where the head of centre is satisfied after receiving the RoR outcome, but the candidate (or their parent/carer) believes there are grounds for a preliminary appeal to the awarding body, a further internal appeal may be made to the head of centre. Following this, the head of centre's decision as to whether to proceed with a preliminary appeal will be based upon the acceptable grounds as detailed in the **JCQ Appeals Booklet**. Candidates or parents/carers are not permitted to make direct representations to an awarding body.

The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within five calendar days of the notification of the outcome of the RoR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required **30 calendar days** of the awarding body issuing the outcome of the review of results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration

This procedure confirms North Kesteven Academy's compliance with JCQ's General Regulations for Approved Centres (section 5.3z) that the centre will:

- Have in place for inspection that must be reviewed and updated annually by a member of the senior leadership team and communicated within the centre, an internal appeals procedure which must cover at least appeals regarding centre decisions relating to access arrangements and special consideration.

North Kesteven Academy will:

- Comply with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and special consideration as set out in the JCQ documents **Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments** and **A guide to the special consideration process**
- ensure that all staff who manage and implement access arrangements and special consideration are aware of the requirements and are appropriately supported and resourced

Access arrangements and reasonable adjustments

In accordance with the regulations, North Kesteven Academy:

- Recognises its duty to explore and provide access to suitable courses, submit applications for reasonable adjustments through the access arrangements process and make reasonable adjustments to the services the centre provides to disabled candidates.
- Complies with its responsibilities in identifying, determining and implementing appropriate access arrangements and reasonable adjustments.

Failure to comply with the regulations has the potential to constitute malpractice which may impact on a candidate's result(s).

Examples of failure to comply include:

- Putting in place access arrangements/adjustments that are not approved.
- Failing to consider putting in place access arrangements (which may be a failure to comply with the duty to make reasonable adjustments).
- Permitting access arrangements/adjustments within the centre which are not supported by appropriate evidence.
- Charging a fee for providing reasonable adjustments to disabled candidates.

Special consideration

Where North Kesteven Academy has appropriate evidence authorised by a member of the senior leadership team to support an application, it will apply for special consideration at the time of the assessment for a candidate who is affected by adverse circumstances beyond their control when the issue or event has had, or is reasonably likely to have had, a material effect on the candidate's ability to take an assessment or demonstrate their normal level of attainment in an assessment.

Centre decisions relating to access arrangements, reasonable adjustments and special consideration

This may include North Kesteven Academy's decision not to make/apply for a specific reasonable adjustment or to apply for special consideration, in circumstances where a candidate does not meet the criteria for, or there is no evidence/insufficient evidence to support the implementation of an access arrangement/reasonable adjustment or the application of special consideration.

Where North Kesteven Academy makes a decision in relation to the access arrangement(s), reasonable adjustment(s) or special consideration that apply for a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with its responsibilities or followed due procedures, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted.

To determine the outcome of the appeal, the head of centre will consult the respective JCQ publication to confirm the centre has complied with the principles and regulations governing access arrangements and/or special consideration and followed due procedures.

Appeals regarding centre decisions relating to other administrative issues

Circumstances may arise that cause North Kesteven Academy to make decisions on administrative issues that may affect a candidate's examinations/assessments.

Where North Kesteven Academy may make a decision that affects a candidate or candidates:

- If a candidate who is the subject of the relevant decision (or the candidate's parent/carer) disagrees with the decision made and reasonably believes that the centre has not complied with the regulations or followed due process, a written request setting out the grounds for appeal should be submitted.

The **internal appeals form** should be completed and submitted to the centre within 7 calendar days of the notification of the outcome of the EAR. Subject to the head of centre's decision, this will allow the centre to process the preliminary appeal and submit to the awarding body within the required **30 calendar days** of receiving the outcome of the enquiry about results process. Awarding body fees which may be charged for the preliminary appeal must be paid to the centre by the appellant before the preliminary appeal is submitted to the awarding body (fees are available from the exams officer). If the appeal is upheld by the awarding body, this fee will be refunded by the awarding body and repaid to the appellant by the centre.

Internal appeals form		**FOR CENTRE USE ONLY**	
		Date received	
Please tick box to indicate the nature of your appeal and complete all white boxes* on the form below		Reference No.	

☐ Appeal against an internal assessment decision and/or request for a review of marking
☐ Appeal against the centre's decision not to support a clerical check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal
☐ Appeal against the centre's decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration
☐ Appeal against the centre's decision relating to an administrative issue

*Where the nature of the appeal does not relate directly to an awarding body's specific qualification, indicate N/A in awarding body specific detail boxes

Name of appellant		**Candidate name if different to appellant**	
Awarding body		**Exam paper code**	
Subject		**Exam paper title**	
Please state the grounds for your appeal below ***(If applicable, tick below)*** ☐ **Where my appeal is against an internal assessment decision, I wish to request a review of the centre's marking** ***If necessary, continue on an additional page if this form is being completed electronically or overleaf if hard copy being completed***			
Appellant signature:		**Date of signature:**	

This form must be signed, dated and returned to the exams officer on behalf of the head of centre to the timescale indicated in the relevant appeals procedure

Complaints and appeals log

The outcome of any reviews of the centre's marking will be made known to the head of centre and will be logged as a complaint. A written record will be kept and made available to the awarding body upon request. Should the review of the centre's marking bring any irregularity in procedures to light, the awarding body will be informed immediately.

Ref No.	Date received	Appellant Name	Outcome	Outcome date

Further guidance to inform and implement appeals procedures

JCQ publications

- General Regulations for Approved Centres https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/general-regulations
- Post-Results Services https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/post-results-services
- JCQ Appeals Booklet (A guide to the awarding bodies' appeals processes) https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/appeals
- Notice to Centres – Informing candidates of their centre assessed marks https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/non-examination-assessments
- Suspected Malpractice: Policies and Procedures https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/malpractice/
- Access Arrangements and Reasonable Adjustments https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/
- A guide to the special consideration process https://www.jcq.org.uk/exams-office/access-arrangements-and-special-consideration/regulations-and-guidance/

Ofqual publications

- GCSE (9 to 1) qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gcse-9-to-1-qualification-level-conditions
- GCE qualification-level conditions and requirements https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/gce-qualification-level-conditions-and-requirements